

## **A POLITICAL PERSPECTIVE**

J Matschke 12-Feb-09

The following views of our current political climate are virtually never represented in the mainstream media and partially represented in a few radio talk shows. A few are wholly my own. However, I believe the following conclusions are held by a very significant portion of a largely unrepresented demographic of our citizenry. The paper is long, but may alert some less informed citizens of the perils we are facing. Please forward this to anyone you know of that could benefit from hearing this perspective. This may include your legislators.

It has become clear that the only plausible explanations for the actions of the political body of the United States include objectives which are contrary to both the laws of our Constitution and the will of the people. These objectives include the elimination of the Bill of Rights and the subjection of the American people to a central governing body with absolute control over the citizenry. The ultimate goals of both political parties include the establishment of a permanent ruling class and the elimination of the middle and upper-middle classes, as is typical of Marxist regimes. The need to eliminate the barriers of the American middle class and the Constitution may be driven by personal greed and quest for power, the ideological belief that a central governing body is necessary in today's global economy, or a related desire to establish a central, global governing power. The rapid erosion of the rights of the middle class through legislation and the economic juggernaut being applied by our legislators indicate that a very narrow time frame remains in which there is any opportunity for substantial resistance to this change in our form of government. The American people must respond effectively, quickly, and need to employ methods outside of the traditional means used in recent decades.

The political leaders of our day, from both major political parties, no longer serve the interests of the nation or the will of the people. Our elected officials believe they are serving their own interests by complying with the wishes of a small handful of corporate leaders who themselves have extraordinary economic and political resources. These same cloaked powers control most major American media outlets, many domestic industries, and key positions in every agency of the federal government. Almost without exception, our political leaders are fully aware of the illegal and immoral nature of their objectives and willingly compromise the rule of law and rights of the people without remorse. These officials are traitors who have turned their backs on the American people.

The power block constituted by a few global corporate leaders, our elected officials, and the mainstream media (controlled by the same corporate leaders) is systematically forcing all but the wealthiest segment of our citizenry into some form of dependency on both federal and state governments. This dependence can take the form of medical care, food subsidies, housing, and employment, and can be utilized to control the behavior of each citizen as deemed necessary by a new, and largely self-appointed ruling class. Through

deliberately flawed legislation and over-taxation, the rulers of our day are leaving the American people no choice but to eventually turn to dependency on the very government that destroyed our individual prosperity and independent liberty.

The erosion of the individual rights of the American people, most importantly the right to keep and bear arms, will allow totalitarian control of the citizenry. To achieve this without a violent public response, our elected officials are utilizing methods outlined by Aristotle and applying them in a methodical, systematic fashion. These powers are making every effort to divide the citizenry over social issues, display awesome military and counterintelligence capabilities to instill fear in the citizenry, and diminish the significance and relativity of religious beliefs and cultural traditions to break the spirit of the citizenry.

Hate crime and equal opportunity legislation, our nationalized and politicized educational system, the forced redistribution of wealth, in conjunction with a compliant media, are being used to fractionalize the general population. By augmenting differences and forcing inflexible legislation to establish bias, animosity between men and women in the workplace, blacks, whites, and Hispanics, different segments of the Christian faith and between differing religions, contempt between rich and poor, friction between political affiliations (Republicans and Democrats), and other juxtaposed segments of our society, the government and the mainstream media divert the resources and attention of the populace from one of the fundamental causes of these divisions; the government itself. The two political parties routinely reverse roles, each time implying that better legislation could have been had if only they had had more support or more representatives in the chamber. They are, in fact, working in tandem to achieve the same vicious goals.

The federal government consistently promotes every opportunity to demonstrate its awesome military powers. Information is readily released to the media on new weapons that cannot be matched in the private sector. In “reality” police shows, police use overwhelming force to apprehend suspects regardless of the level of resistance shown. Reports are released of uncanny surveillance and information gathering capabilities, including the ability to monitor every major form of communication throughout the domestic population without detection. The burning of the Branch Dravidian compound and the recent raids on the Mormon compound demonstrate the overwhelming force that the government is willing to use to establish control. A complex and financially prohibitive legal system assures that any dissent from the government will have disastrous effects on the private citizen. A loosely controlled, corrupt and violent prison system further instills fear in the general populace.

The diminishing of the role of religion in our society is being implemented through the constant preaching of tolerance in our schools and by our public officials. This tolerance includes the requirement that the general population no longer revere the Judeo-Christian beliefs that were predominant in our nation for its first two hundred years, including the theological emphasis on the nuclear family and self-reliance. The removal of religious artifacts and phrases from public buildings, schools and public ceremonies, and the forced acceptance of “alternative” lifestyles, other “religions” (or cults, such as the Wicca

cult), foreign cultural practices that interfere with work or public procedures, and language that is contrary to Christian and Jewish beliefs, all propagate this diminishing effect. For the Christian, even expounding these beliefs with regard to some fringe elements of our society, such as the homosexuals, is contrary to the rule of law. Mature Christians are wise enough to understand that each man's path is different, and that persecution is a grave injustice.

Consider the recent actions of the federal and state governments. They have refused to close our borders to the influx of 20 to 30 million illegal aliens, in spite of the widely accept statistic that more than 80% of the domestic population wants immigration restricted to the existing legal methods and current immigrant employment laws enforced. Only small, token efforts to enforce the laws have been provided as needed to assure the complacency of the general population. States with the highest numbers of illegal aliens are going bankrupt because of the costs of providing education, food, housing and medical care for these criminals. Ultimately, the costs must be passed on to the general population by the government, just as the housing and lending entitlement laws have created the collapse of the housing market, which is now being subsidized directly with tax dollars. The gangs and crime associated with the illegal aliens has become a national crisis, and illegal aliens represent more than 30 percent of our prison population (another unwarranted expense). Approximately 60 percent of the illegal alien population is not employed and lives solely on government subsidies. The image portrayed by the media is that illegal immigration keeps the cost of food down. Only two percent of illegal immigrants work in agriculture. Though the domestic unemployment rates are at record levels, and in spite of millions of emails, letters and faxes from their constituents, regardless of very real terrorists threats from Muslim extremists, our legislators refuse to control our borders or enforce immigrant employment laws. By allowing the problem to continue the social fabric of the populace is further fragmented while the economic independence of the middle class is further eroded. In addition, the general population is being saturated with individuals who do not understand the principles of our Constitution, are largely unskilled or semi-skilled and without higher education, and are eager to subjugate themselves to a central government in return for basic necessities. Further divisions of the populace occur as legal (and welcomed) immigrants are erroneously grouped with those who have ignored our laws. Work visas, including the 1.2 million work visas for foreign engineers currently approved by our legislators, have many of the same effects.

The federal government created a housing bubble by forcing the banking industry to make home loans to people who could not afford them (based on the demographics of race and income in the area serviced by the lending institutions), through tax incentives that further encourage over-spending (interest deductions), and by artificially inflating the market with economic controls (the Federal Reserve). The federal government is now using forcibly obtained tax dollars from working Americans to buy the mortgages for these homes. Since the mortgages have been bundled in securities with other less risky mortgages, the government is essentially taking title to the property of the middle class en mass, including the mortgages of those who are still able to pay their bills in spite of the burden of over-taxation.

Our legislators have refused to re-write our tax codes, which have become so complex that an entire industry has been developed around deciphering them. Though seventy percent of manufacturing operations nation wide have been moved off shore or to Central and South America in the last 20 years, our legislators still maintain that our corporate tax rate, the highest in the industrialized world, is justified. At 39 percent, the taxes on a manufacturing entity in the United States are significantly higher than the flat tax of 33 percent for manufacturing firms in communist China. When added to the costs of tax code compliance, regulation (such as OSHA and the EPA), and liability expenses, manufacturing industry leaders estimate that the differential in manufacturing costs increases to 18 percent. This does not include the cost of labor in the US. Still, because of the higher productivity of the American worker, these same leaders believe that a small decrease in corporate taxes of eight to ten percent would make domestic manufacturing more appealing than most foreign markets. Part of this cost reduction could be achieved with a simplified tax code.

Legislators have increased the stringency of bankruptcy laws for the individual, allowing the courts to use disposable income formulas developed by the Internal Revenue Service to confiscate all disposable income from the bankrupt individual for years to come; a form of economic slavery. At the same time, legislators loosened the rules of lending for the credit card companies, allowing them to change interest rates without justification after loaning money at a much lower rate, increase interest rates well above the previous draconian limit of 25%, impose crushing penalties, and even modify payment dates to fall on holidays.

The United States government spends more on every student in our public schools than any other industrialized nation while the quality of the education provided is lower than every other industrialized nation and some third-world nations. Yet our legislators refuse to usurp the stronghold of the teachers union (a union in a government-controlled entity is a travesty in itself) and prohibit privatization of the system through such means as school vouchers or tax incentives. Instead, the tax laws provide a mortgage interest deduction for the family that buys a home they can't afford while giving no allowance whatsoever for the expense of a private lower education. In reality, education, not the home building industry, will do the most for the long-term prosperity of the nation.

Our legislators and the executive branch have exempted themselves from many of the laws they force upon the citizenry, including paying into our mandatory social security fund. Congress refuses to comply with the will of the people when it comes to any corrective action; such as term limits. On a local level, cities that maintain a mandatory one-year jail sentence for possession of a handgun make exception for the mayor, his staff, and city alderman, who are deputized specifically to allow them to carry a firearm. Even the capitol building itself has been exempted from handicapped accessibility laws, which leave extra empty handicapped parking spaces in front of every business in America.

Appointed (not elected) regulators, under pressure from the President and Congress, have refused to reign in energy futures trading, which has caused wild variation in energy costs since they deregulated the industry a few years ago by allowing the purchase of US oil futures by foreign entities, increasing the maximum number of futures available, and allowing their trade in foreign markets. Similar deregulation has occurred with mortgage instruments. With each fluctuation of oil prices or mortgage interest rates billions of dollars in profits are being taken out of our economy by foreign investors and a few extraordinarily wealthy domestic businessmen (who also control Congress and the Federal Reserve). George Soros took \$2 billion dollars out of the mortgage futures market in 2006. His media and corporate network are large enough to impact public policy and Wall Street. He can literally make his speculations happen after he places his bets. Because of his network of firms around the globe, he paid almost nothing in taxes on this income. He also contributed millions of dollars to hundreds of political campaigns and provided immeasurable assistance to these same politicians with his media empire.

NAFTA and similar trade agreements have crippled the citrus industry in the US and have had a lasting negative effect on many other industrial sectors. While it was common knowledge throughout the 1900's that any American who drank water or ate fresh vegetables while in Mexico would get sick, now the majority of our fruits and vegetables are coming from Mexico. The public is suffering from a huge array of intestinal and respiratory ailments on a nation-wide basis, yet the government is focusing on a single domestic peanut manufacturer for causing a limited portion of just one of these maladies. The object of this display of concern is the justification of more government jobs and greater government control of domestic industry. Diseases such as small pox and tuberculosis were all but eliminated in the US only ten years ago, but swarms of impoverished illegal aliens and contaminated food sources have caused a major resurgence of these and other low-level diseases. Our food processing plants are crawling with government inspectors that have free reign of the facility, while there is only one inspector for every 100 facilities in China that is manufacturing food for export to the US. Simultaneously, product labeling laws have been changed to make it harder to determine where a product is from.

In recent months, the federal government has begun to nationalize key industries, including the insurance industry, banking industry and the securities industry. Even more troublesome is the intent to "invest" in small business operations across the nation (under the guise of buying bad loans). No independent private business will survive in an industry that is owned in part by the federal government. Once the government owns a significant portion of any industry, fair competition cannot exist. The opportunities for corruption here are almost endless. All of this is being done with tax dollars that will be forcibly confiscated from working Americans. Because the money is not actually in the treasury and is instead being printed, it is highly likely that the citizenry will experience runaway inflation as investors in the dollar lose confidence or refuse to loan the US more money. At the same time the middle class will experience radical tax increases and loss of services to repay this debt.

In 2008, our elected officials removed \$340 billion dollars from the treasury without defining what the funds will be used for (which is against our own constitutional law, and was done without a constitutional amendment or super-majority). The federal government claims to have put the money under the control of the Federal Reserve, and to date refuses to disclose to the public specifically where or how the funds were allocated. This, quite simply, is theft.

The American people have witnessed executive orders from the White House and acts of Congress that contain vague language and compromise our right to privacy, our right to a jury by our peers, and our right to legal counsel (ironically called the “patriot act”). Any dissident citizen can be labeled a domestic terrorist, as defined solely by the federal government, and thus lose all rights to due course of law.

During the twelve years it took to completely ban all privately owned firearms in Great Britain violent crime increased 300%. In Australia, where all guns were banned more recently, violent crime has risen 400% in just a few years. Miami has changed from one of the most violent cities in the nation to one of the safest since right-to-carry laws were enacted four years ago. Every repressive regime in modern history has banned firearm ownership before eliminating the most basic rights of the populace. The current efforts of our lawmakers to eliminate private firearm ownership demonstrates their blatant disregard for the well being of the people and their desire to force the citizenry into complete submission to their every whim. Once all firearms have been removed from the populace or made inaccessible to the vast majority of the population, all of the other individual rights guaranteed under the Constitution will be rapidly eliminated. The efforts of state, federal and local officials to completely remove the right of the people to bear arms are contrary to the will of more than 80 percent of the population. By parsing the process into small increments rational can be provided by the government and media to sway a slightly larger segment of the civilian population into agreement with the government. The ultimate objective of the government remains unchanged; complete submission of the general population.

The refusal of our elected officials to comply with their own laws, close our borders, support moral and ethical lending practices, reduce the costs of manufacturing by reducing corporate taxes and renegotiating trade agreements, simplify the tax code, reduce the number of work visas during record unemployment, or even to comply with our own constitutional law, is clear evidence of their treason. The erosion of our rights, and continued attempts to confiscate our arms, even to silence dissenting opinions (“fairness in broadcasting” legislation) further clarify the immoral and treacherous intentions of the government. No other explanation can justify their actions; we are witnessing an orchestrated attempt to dissolve the Bill of Rights, reduce the middle class to a property of the state, and establish a permanent ruling class.

During the last major congressional debate of 2008 on immigration reform, the White House and Congress deliberately shut down communications with the general population. Phone systems and web sites were reduced to ten percent operating capacity or completely disabled. Congressional leaders claimed that this was done because specific

radio talk show hosts were causing their listeners to saturate congressional communication lines. The shutting off of the voice of the people by the government during this crucial debate is a clear example of a complete disregard for the will of the people and disdain for the rights of the middle class by our legislators. Tens of millions of faxes, emails, letters and phone calls have had little or no effect on the ultimate objectives of the government. Instead, this information is being used to gauge the anger level of the populace, pace the removal of our individual freedoms, locate concentrations of dissenting opinion, target specific dissidents, and prevent or prepare for a violent insurgence. Clearly, the traditional methods of contacting government representation are ineffective and may be counterproductive.

Those in control of our government understand that some portion of the population will never accept this new regime and know that it is highly probable that this change in government will generate some loss of life among the citizenry. The government also understands that the more depressed the economy and destitute the middle class becomes, the more willing the populace will be to accept any help that can be obtained, even at the expense of their freedom. Outspoken communists living in the United States estimate that about twenty million souls will refuse another form of government and must be removed from the social fabric of the nation to secure a police state. The loss of life that accompanies changes from a less to much more restrictive form of government may occur by a variety of means, including famine, exposure, disease, warfare, captivity and extermination. Extermination has been used to silence resistance in every Marxist regime.

A significant portion of the people who constitute the U.S. federal and state governments are true patriots and are undoubtedly frustrated by the actions of their superiors and peers. This subgroup within the government has been placed in a precarious position: they find themselves juxtaposed to the will of the American people. Local police, Internal Revenue Service employees, federal law enforcement officials, statesmen, and other government officials have been forced to decide if they will continue to support an overbearing and corrupt government or interrupt their career path, possibly through non-compliance with the government or insubordination. Those who discontinue or have already discontinued their service may have placed themselves in a very precarious financial position for the well being of the nation, and deserve to be treated accordingly, particularly if these career changes are made during unstable economic conditions. Others will continue serving the current power structure, be it for selfish or ideological reasons. Given the repeated outcries of the public for obvious reforms and the blatant corruption, ignorance or incompetence can hardly be considered a valid excuse for participating in the demise of America. For decades, politicians have been winning the support of police and fire unions by promising lavish retirement and benefit packages knowing that the real cost of these promises will not be felt for many years. Government retirement packages outpace private industry by almost 2:1 in dollar value. In New York City, 60 percent of firemen that have retired in the last five years have done so on disability. After one year of service, the disability benefit is 85% of their last year's income every year for life, with full medical coverage. The result is a billion dollar

annual expense to New York tax payers. This is not to say that these men have done anything wrong (certainly some are true hero's), but the numbers are suspect.

The men and women of the US military are in a particularly brutal position. While they are fighting dutifully and honorably their own freedoms are being extinguished. They should be lauded, since they do not have the option of discontinuing their service for the government and have risked their lives in defense of what little freedom has been left remaining.

Consider the ease with which our government officials have corrupted our democratic republic. While they extinguish the freedoms of the American people their personal security is assured by armed guards, a full inflation-adjusted salary for life and medical coverage for life. Even if they die, their spouses receive the same benefits for life. Their staff, ardent supporters and close associates also seem to take advantage of the public trust with impunity, or at the very least support others who abuse the public office. It appears that corruption is so prevalent that the judicial branch of government is no longer capable of enforcing justice or the laws within its own government.

One commonly held misconception is that a large, organized effort must be in place before any significant resistance to a corrupt and overbearing government can be successful. To the contrary, small, loosely connected groups or even individual citizens are much more difficult to predict, suppress or control than large, established organizations. The terrorist cell is a modern demonstration of such a demographic. Their targeting of civilian casualties has been condemned by the world and resulted in a multinational response to their actions. It is a barbaric practice and increased resistance against their cause. However, loosely affiliated groups that have assimilated with the populace have completed inexpensive and effective attacks that have changed the policies of every major world government. It is the convictions of the individual that will determine his or her effectiveness, not the number of the resistance. When combined with prudence, planning, and caution, resistance to government by widely dispersed and fragmented clusters of patriots is the only plausible method of confronting the government of a modern industrialized nation.

The window of opportunity for the citizenry of the United States is closing on any opportunity for significant dissidence. Once the right of the people to bear arms is abolished, be it by congressional resolution, Supreme Court ruling, local ordinance, state law, confiscation or over-taxation of guns and ammunition, no other individual liberty can be protected. Any form of resistance will be futile and can result in disastrous and widespread oppression. One recently introduced bill in congress allocates \$340 billion to F.E.M.A. This expenditure and others like it (Homeland Security, for example) allow the federal government to continue to bolster domestic security forces, which are still in the early stages of development. The very slow response of F.E.M.A. and other federal agencies to hurricane Katrina and the recent ice storms on the East Coast are evidence of their limited domestic resources. Congress is moving very quickly to change this condition and is not restraining itself with prudent fiscal policy; in a matter of months domestic security forces can and probably will be doubled, and continued expansion into

the foreseeable future is already planned. Legislation has been passed giving F.E.M.A. “authority” to confiscate weapons, food, supplies, fuel and other private property during an “emergency” as defined by the government. The response of the private citizen to these F.E.M.A. actions during a natural disaster should be contemplated in advance.

The failure of our elected officials to implement common-sense legislation that represents the will of the vast majority of the populace can no longer be blamed on incompetence, ignorance or partisanship. Their refusal to comply with the will of the people or the rule of law can no longer be concealed. They have become blatant and arrogant in their security as their intentions have become clear. The time to make them understand that the people will not comply willingly, and that among the masses are true patriots, men and women of conviction and valor, is before individual liberty is eliminated. Without mobility, weapons, or financial resources, resistance is impossible. Indeed, armed dissidence is a last resort, but it is better than being a slave to the wicked.

Any violent or threatening dissidence aimed at public officials or their supporters will be framed as an act of terrorism and a heinous crime by the government and media. Even this document can be parsed and framed in the necessary context to portray something other than what it is, identify its writer as a domestic terrorist, and remove the writer from society without due course of law. In fact, this document represents the sobering reality of our current political landscape. The conclusions reached herein, particularly with respect the concept that current methods of voicing opinion in government are completely ineffective and probably counterproductive, should not be a crime. After all, there is little new thought contained herein. Thomas Jefferson saw this day when he wrote the following:

I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered.

No free man shall ever be debarred the use of arms.

The strongest reason for the people to retain the right to keep and bear arms is, as a last resort, to protect themselves against tyranny in government.

The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants.

The democracy will cease to exist when you take away from those who are willing to work and give to those who would not.

I predict future happiness for Americans if they can prevent the government from wasting the labors of the people under the pretense of taking care of them.

My reading of history convinces me that most bad government results from too much government.

To compel a man to subsidize with his taxes the propagation of ideas which he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical.'